

Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, BHADRAK

Name of the State: ODISHA...District: BHADRAK.....Election: 46-DHAMNAGAR (SC)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89

OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sl.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	BABA HAREKRUSHNA SETHI, SHYAMPUR, DHAMNAGAR, BHADRAK
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	46-DHAMNAGAR
4	Name of the Elected Candidate	SURYABANSHI SURAJ STITAPRAJNA (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	06.11.2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.12.2022
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii)NO
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	NO
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05.12.2022
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes/No	NO
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	NOT LODGED
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time Yes/No	NO
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NOT SUBMITTED
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NO
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NOT ARISE
15	Grand Total of all Election Expenses Reported by the Candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	NOT SUBMITTED
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of Election Expenses of the Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	NO
	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NOT SUBMITTED
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NO

17	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NO					
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NO					
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	YES					
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NO					
	18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	NO				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	NO					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NOT ARISE					
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	CANDIDATE HAS NOT SUBMITTED FINAL ACCOUNTS, ABSTRACT STATEMENT & FOLDER OF EVIDENCES. HOWEVER AS PER SHADOW OBSERVATION REGISTER TOTAL EXPENDITURE RECORDED TILL THE RESULT DATE i.e. 06.11.2022 IS Rs.6,42,077.00 AND AS PER CANDIDATE TILL LAST INSPECTION DATE i.e. 31.10.2022, TOTAL EXPENDITURE SHOWN WAS Rs.6,60,698.00 ONLY.					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	i	TOTAL EXPENDITURE AS PER SHADOW OBSERVATION REGISTER	06.11.2022	16	6,42,077.00	FINAL ACCOUNT NOT SUBMITTED	-
	ii, iii		-	-	-	-	-
	TOTAL		06.11.2022	16	6,42,077.00	FINAL ACCOUNT NOT SUBMITTED	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	YES (21.10.2022, 26.10.2022, 31.10.2022)					
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NOT ARISE					
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-					
	(ii) If Yes, then Annex Copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-					
	(iii) Did the Candidate give any reply to the notice ?	-					
	(iv) If Yes, Please Annex Copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-					


	(v) DEO's Comments/Observations on the Candidate's Explanation	FINAL ACCOUNT/ABSTRACT STATEMENT NOT SUBMITTED
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are Correctly reported by the Candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	FINAL ACCOUNT/ABSTRACT STATEMENT NOT SUBMITTED

Date: 07/12/2022

Signature
SIDDESHWAR RAJARAM BONDAR
(Name of the DEO)

23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- CANDIDATE HAS NOT SUBMITTED FINAL ACCOUNTS, ABSTRACT STATEMENT & FOLDER OF EVIDENCES. HOWEVER AS PER SHADOW OBSERVATION REGISTER TOTAL EXPENDITURE RECORDED TILL THE RESULT DATE i.e. 06.11.2022 IS Rs.6,42,077.00 AND AS PER CANDIDATE TILL LAST INSPECTION DATE i.e. 31.10.2022, TOTAL EXPENDITURE SHOWN WAS Rs.6,60,698.00 ONLY
----	---

Date: 08/12/2022


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the