Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, BHADRAK Name of the State: ODISHA...District: BHADRAK......Election: 46-DHAMNAGAR (SC) DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	ABANTI DAS, KAANPADA, TIHIDI BHADRAK
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJD
	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary	
3	Constituency	46-DHAMNAGAR (SC)
4	Name of the Elected Candidate	SURYABANSHI SURAJ (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	06.11.2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.12.2022
0	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been	01.12.2022
	informed about the date of Account Reconciliation	II) VEC
	Meeting in writing	(i) YES
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the	
7	meeting	(ii) YES
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate	
	after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If	
	not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown	
8	in Column No. 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05.12.2022
	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	
10	Yes/No	YES
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of	
	lodging of account by the candidate:	
	(i) original account	
11	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.12.2022
12	Whether account lodged in time Yes/No	YES
2 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NIL days
- 11	If account not lodged or not lodged in time,	25,9
	whether DEO called for explanation from the	
13	candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NO
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NOT REQUIRED
L4 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of	
	the candidate	NOT ARISE
	Grand Total of all Election Expenses Reported by	
15	the Candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 28,81,000.00
10	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of	NS. 20,01,000.00
	Election Expenses of the Candidate has been	
	lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act,	
16	1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
	If No, then please mention the following defects	
	with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register	
	comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash	
	Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has	WEG.
	been lodged	YES

	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate			YES		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	YES				
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election			YES		
17	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the	YES				
18	candidate for rectifying the defect			NO		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NO		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			NOT ARISE		
	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
19	mention the following:			YES		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observatio n Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folde r of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRE
	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	NOTREGOMED	HEQUITED	Normagnia
ii, iii	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRE
TOTAL	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	not required	NOT REQUIRE
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		YES (21.10	2022, 26.10.202	22, 31.10.202	2)
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-			NOT ARISE		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
21	(ii)If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					
	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply					

	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation		
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are		
	correctly reported by the candidate.		
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary		
22	Report of DEO)	YES	

Date: 07/12/2022

SIDDESHWAR BALDAM BONDAR

(Name of the DEO)

		(Name of the DEO)	
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		

Date: 08/12/2022

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $<sup>^{</sup>st}$  If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the