Serial Number of the Candidate in Summary Report of the DEO, BHADRAK
Name of the State: ODISHA...District: BHADRAK.....Election: 46-DHAMNAGAR (SC)
DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89
OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1	Name & Address of the Candidate	PABITRA MAHAN DAS, BAULAPAL, BHATTAPADA, TIHIDI, BHADRAK			
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT			
	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary				
3	Constituency	46-DHAMNAGAR (SC)			
4	Name of the Elected Candidate	SURYABANSHI SURAJ STITAPRAJNA (BJP)			
5	Date of declaration of result	06.11.2022			
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.12.2022			
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) YES			
7	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) YES			
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown				
8	in Column No. 19)	YES			
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	05.12.2022			
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes/No	YES			
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	01.12.2022			
12	Whether account lodged in time Yes/No	YES			
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the	NIL days			
13	candidate. If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NO NOT REQUIRED			
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NOT ARISE			
15	Grand Total of all Election Expenses Reported by the Candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.6,000.00			
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of Election Expenses of the Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 If No, then please mention the following defects with details	YES			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	YES			

	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been							
	submitted by candidate			YES		estimate and the		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items							
	of election expenditure submitted			YES				
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for							
	election			YES				
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty							
17	expenditure) routed through bank account			YES				
	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the							
18	candidate for rectifying the defect	NO						
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			NO				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e.							
	whether the defect was rectified or not.	NOT ARISE						
	Whether the items of election expenses reported			NOT ARISE				
	by the candidate correspond with the expenses							
	shown in the Shadow Observation Register and			YES				
	Folder of Evidence. If No, then							
19	mention the following:							
						To be seen		
				Mention	Amount as			
	Items of expenditure			amount as per	per the			
	items of expenditure		Page No.	the Shadow	account	Amount		
			of Shadow	Observation	submitted	understate		
			Observatio	Register/folde	by the	by the		
		Date	n Register	r of evidence	candidate	candidate		
		NOT	NOT	1 Of evidence	NOT	carraracte		
i	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRE		
		NOT	NOT		NOT			
ii, iii	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED NOT	REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED NOT	NOT REQUIRE		
TOTAL	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED	REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRED	REQUIRED	NOT REQUIRE		
	Did the candidate produce his Register of							
	Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by	YES (21.10.2022, 26.10.2022, 31.10.2022)						
	the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times							
20	during campaign period							
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned							
	against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the	NOT ARISE						
	following details:-							
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the							
	notice of the candidate during campaign period or							
	during the Account Reconciliation Meeting							
	(ii)If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued							
	relating to discrepancies with English translation (if							
				-				
21	it is in regional language) and mention the date of							
	notice							
	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?							
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation							
	received, (with English translation of the same, if it							
	is in regional language) and mention date of reply					4 <u> - </u>		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the							
	candidate's explanation							
	candidate 2 exhiangrion							

-3	Whather the DEO agrees that the evinence are				
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	YES			
	Date: 07/12/2022	SIDDESHWAR BALIRAM BONDAN			
		(Name of the DEO)			

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08/12/2022

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the